Seq: 1

BOOK REVIEWS

MAKING THE CHANGE, ONE CONSERVATIVE AT A TIME: A REVIEW OF DOMINION: THE POWER OF MAN, THE SUFFERING OF ANIMALS, AND THE CALL TO MERCY BY MATTHEW SCULLY

by Shennie Patel*

I.	INTRODUCTION	299	R
II.	ORGANIZATION OF BOOK	301	R
III.	SOMETHING HAS GONE WRONG	303	R
IV.	ANIMALS FOR FOOD	305	R
V.	ANIMALS FOR SPORT	309	R
VI.	WHALE HUNTING	314	R
VII.	ANIMALS FOR EXPERIMENTS	316	R
VIII.	THERE MUST BE ANOTHER WAY	318	R
IX	CONCLUSION	321	R

I. INTRODUCTION

The greatness of a nation and its moral progress can be judged by the way its animals are treated. 1

January 28, 2003. President Bush was making final preparations for his State of the Union Address as I was led through the West Wing of the White House into the office of George W. Bush's Special Assis-

^{* ©} Shennie Patel 2003. Trial Attorney for the Civil Rights Division of the U.S. Department of Justice in Washington, D.C.; J.D. 1996, University of Houston Law Center; B.A. 1991, University of Houston. The views expressed in this Review are those of the author alone and do not necessarily reflect the views of the U.S. Department of Justice. The author wishes to dedicate this article to one of the most influential and conservative mentors in her career: Mr. Patrick Raher, who has challenged her in many great ways and who has accepted her "liberal" ways unconditionally.

¹ Mohandas K. Gandhi, The Moral Basis of Vegetarianism (Navajivan Publg. H. 1959).

12:07

tant and Senior Speechwriter, Matthew Scully. I was not in the White House to discuss the upcoming State of the Union Address or the politics of the Republican White House, but to talk about Scully's book, Dominion: The Power of Man, the Suffering of Animals, and the Call to Mercy.² During the few hours I spent with Scully, my initial reservations concerning his advocacy for animal welfare issues slipped away. He truly abhors cruelty to animals, and he genuinely wants to do as much as he can to help animals. He also just happens to be a conservative Republican working for the President.³ Scully wrote this book to address the fact that people, especially conservatives, are casually ignoring the issue of blatant animal cruelty. He wanted to examine the thinking of many skeptics, some of whom are his fellow conservatives, and the lengths to which they avoid animal welfare as a serious moral issue. More importantly, he wanted to connect with his religious, conservative colleagues because, in his opinion, "no great cause gets attention without religious conviction."4

unknown

Dominion does not advocate that animals should have rights equal to those of humans, but instead argues that the human right of dominion over animals creates a duty to show empathy toward them.⁵ Scully advocates that we should treat animals with kindness, not because they have rights, power, or some claim to equality, but precisely because they do not; they stand unequal and powerless before us. The illustration on Dominion's jacket depicting a helpless, submissive lamb with its four legs bound uncomfortably together is a powerful beginning to a book about dominion and our duty to be merciful.⁶

In the face of every scientific, religious, economic, and sustainable use conservation argument supporting animal use, Scully, who is not a likely friend of animal advocates, artfully breaks down and refutes the basic tenets of each theory. As he breaks down the arguments, he adds a little emotional sarcasm, strongly emphasizing the absurdity of the arguments. Throughout the book, Scully criticizes society for fearfully turning away from seeing the animals suffering all around them; in particular, he stresses the lack of necessity for animals' pain:

When a man's love of finery clouds his moral judgment, that is vanity. When he lets a demanding palate make his moral choices, that is gluttony. When he ascribes the divine will to his own whims, that is pride. And when he gets angry at being reminded of animal suffering that his own daily choices might help avoid, that is moral cowardice.⁷

² Matthew Scully, Dominion: The Power of Man, the Suffering of Animals, and the Call to Mercy (St. Martin's Press 2002).

³ Interview with Matthew Scully, Spec. Asst. to the Pres. of the U.S. and White H. Senior Speech Writer (Jan. 28, 2003) [hereinafter Interview with Scully].

⁵ Scully, supra n. 2.

⁶ Id. (the jacket illustration depicts The Bound Lamb by Francisco De Zurbarán). The lamb is a symbolic biblical creature and represents "a helpless, harmless creature" that is used in the Bible to illustrate the Christian way. Id. at 97.

⁷ Id. at 121.

301

The pictures he draws of human cruelty towards animals are often painful to read. This is especially so in those depicting factory farming, a subject for which he demonstrates particular passion. Scully concludes the book by asserting that we must stop asking *whether* creatures consciously suffer, and end the suffering today with legal reform and individual acts of mercy.

However, despite his sharp criticism of tolerance of misery and unlimited cruelty inflicted upon animals, Scully does not resolve all of the issues he raises. For instance, how do we stop or significantly reduce the culture of sport hunting? How do we stop or reduce whale hunting? Scully does not realistically answer these questions or numerous others, leaving the reader anxious for more. But *Dominion* does leave the reader with the understanding that compassion and mercy towards our fellow creatures is of paramount importance if we are to lead good lives. Essentially, Scully throws aside all the scientific, moralistic, and philosophical excuses for relentless animal cruelty and makes a simple plea for mercy. Only time will tell if this book elicits a powerful and productive response to that plea.

II. ORGANIZATION OF BOOK

Scully has organized his book into eight chapters, the first seven overflowing with descriptive detail about the theories and practices that support human cruelty to animals. Chapter 8, his parting chapter, presents potential reforms for some of the issues he raises in the previous seven chapters. Scully asks all of the right questions and bores holes into the many callous arguments supporting the cruel use of animals.

In the early part of the book, Scully claims that the inhumane treatment of animals is a moral issue that needs to be addressed as seriously as—and not instead of—the injustices inflicted on many people.¹⁰ He effectively disputes the conservative biblical concept of un-

⁸ Scully, *supra* n. 2 (arguing that we must assert rights for animals *because* animals have no rights). While this may be an obvious concept, Scully is correct in his assessment that this society ignores this concept as far as animals are concerned. Legislators enact most laws to protect those who cannot protect themselves. *E.g. Civil Rights of Institutionalized Persons Act*, 42 U.S.C. § 1997 (2000) (protecting the constitutional and federal statutory rights of persons confined in certain governmentally owned institutions, including those for the mentally ill and developmentally disabled).

⁹ Scully, supra n. 2.

 $^{^{10}}$ Id. at xii (at the beginning of his book, Scully swiftly deals with the argument that injustices against humans are of paramount concern to those against animals by stating: "Where we find wrongs done to animals, it is no excuse to say that more important wrongs are done to human beings . . . [a] wrong is a wrong.").

Often, critics detract from the work of animal rights groups by criticizing animal rights advocates for preferring critters to humans. Advocates like Adam M. Roberts, Senior Research Associate with Animal Welfare Institute, finds the time to advocate against human *and* animal injustices. Mr. Roberts has written endless articles covering a wide variety of animal welfare and rights issues and recently made the time to formulate the "\$10 Club" to purchase medical supplies, food supplies, and organic agriculture

302

12:07

checked dominion over animals, and instead argues that the Bible requires "wise dominion" or compassion.¹¹

Dominion is informative and passionately written, but it is not an easy read. There are bookshelves filled with books covering only one topic, such as animals for food, 12 animals for sport, animals for experimentation, or animal rights. 13 Dominion attempts to address all these issues. To his credit, Scully tackles a controversial set of animal welfare topics as thoroughly as possible. The result of such thorough coverage, however, is an overload of information. For instance, Dominion's first chapter is a melting pot of theories and their aspects surrounding the animal welfare issue. One section discusses Christian hypocrisy, another section criticizes animal liberation theorist, Peter Singer, 14 another section returns to the Christian concept of "Man the Conqueror," another section introduces the reader to the ugly business

tools to send to people living in extreme poverty in rural areas. In January 2003, the club's money funded the purchase of solar cookers for 47 families at Kakuma Camp in Kenya. Telephone Interview with Adam M. Roberts, Senior Research Assoc., Animal Welfare Inst. (Feb. 3, 2003).

¹¹ Scully, *supra* n. 2, at vii (citing *Genesis* 1:24–26, 28–29) (Scully's book title and the concept of dominion over creatures come from *Genesis* 1:24–26):

And God said, Let us make man in our image, after our likeness; and let them have dominion over the fish of the sea, and over the fowl of the air, and over the cattle, and over all the earth, and over every creeping thing that creepth upon the carth

However, Scully cites another biblical passage demonstrating the misinterpretation of dominion, *Genesis* 1:28–29 (*Id.* at 44): "And God said, Behold, I have given you every herb bearing seed, which is upon the face of all the earth, and every tree, in which is the fruit of a tree yielding fruit; to you it shall be for meat."

The Old and New Testaments include many stories involving animals representing the incredibly subtle yet important role of animals in understanding the lessons of life on earth. In the Old Testament: *Genesis* 1:20–26 (the story of creation); *Genesis* 8:8–12 (Noah's dove); *Psalms* 104:10–12, 16–28, 31 (a description of God's care for the animals); *Psalms* 102:6 (the pelican of the wilderness; the owl of the desert); *Proverbs* 30:18, 19, 24–31 (eagle, serpent, ants, conies, locusts, spider, lion, greyhound, and goat); and *Jeremiah* 8:7 (stork, turtle, crane, and swallow observing the time of their coming). In the New Testament: *Luke* 2 (animals at the manger); and *Isaiah* 11:4–9 (peace in the kingdom: the wolf and the lamb; the leopard and the kid; the calf and the young lion; the cow and the bear).

- ¹² See e.g. Animal Welfare Inst., Factory Farming: The Experiment That Failed (Diane Halverson ed., Animal Welfare Inst. 1987) (a compilation of articles and photographs of animals in factory farms: veal calves, hogs, laying hens, as well as humane equivalents).
- ¹³ See e.g. Animal Welfare Inst., Animals and their Legal Rights (4th ed., Animal Welfare Inst. 1990) (presenting a survey of American laws from 1641 to 1990).
- ¹⁴ Interview with Scully, *supra* n. 3 (he challenges the chilly "eminence" of Peter Singer, who some people have referred to as the intellectual pioneer of the animal rights movement; Scully criticizes Singer for defending the killing of infants while arguing for kindness towards animals).

303

of factory farming,¹⁵ and yet another section presents an international (Great Britain's) twist into the ethics debate of meat consumption.¹⁶

The chapters that focus on sport hunting are some of the most interesting chapters to read, not only for the amazing insight into this traditional human pastime, but also for the entertaining sarcasm Scully uses as he exposes the hypocrisy in the argument for sport hunting. The fourth chapter, *Riches of the Sea*, brings to light the complicated world of whale hunting along with its symbolic baggage. This chapter lays out the whale hunting issue from cultural considerations to the international laws intended to offset the current hunting rates to the rubric of using whales for scientific research. This is the most difficult chapter to finish because Scully filled forty-nine pages with excessive detail on the topic of animal welfare for which Scully offers little resolution. Chapter 6, *Deliver Me from My Necessities*, which focuses on factory farming and human cruelty at its worst, is his most powerful.

In essence, *Dominion* is a book about humans and human corruption, with the animals in the background merely looking back through a complex prism. The book's central theme is that despite all of the various philosophical arguments concerning whether animals truly experience pain and whether that pain is justified, we need to rescue these creatures now:

The fact that the creatures cannot act morally toward us in no way diminishes our ability to act morally toward them . . . As a moral restraint to be observed by us, it matters little, least of all to the objects of cruelty, whether we say they have a "right" not to be mercilessly confined, beaten, dissected, or neglected. The point is that we should not mercilessly confine, beat, dissect, or neglect them. 17

The book begins by reflecting on the moral problems raised by animal suffering and ends by advocating for laws with actual and compassionate consequences that intervene on behalf of the animals.

III. SOMETHING HAS GONE WRONG

There are theorists who believe that animals cannot feel "meaningful" pain and, therefore, cruelty to animals does not exist. Intuitively, this belief is nonsense, but how wonderful if it were true? Cruelty to animals would be as little of a concern as cruelty to a book. However, Scully devotes a substantial number of pages to revealing the philosophies of the "no-pain" theorists, or behaviorists, such as Ste-

¹⁵ Scully, *supra* n. 2, at 31—32.

¹⁶ *Id.* at 41. In January 2002, Great Britain enacted a law banning fur farming: "It shall be an offense for any person to keep or knowingly cause or permit to be kept for production of fur any mink, fox, or other fur-bearing animal."

¹⁷ Id. at 340.

12:07

unknown

[Vol. 9:299

phen Budiansky,¹⁸ Professor Peter Carruthers,¹⁹ and philosopher David Oderberg.²⁰ He then dissects their theories down to the ridiculous themes they have always represented.²¹ Scully accurately points out that all of these abstract theories questioning whether or not animals feel, anticipate, or avoid pain, hold animals to "an impossible standard of evidence, an ever receding empirical horizon, allowing us to declare in theory that since we can never *really* know they think and feel, we may safely conclude that they do not and act accordingly."²² Scully's evidentiary argument against all of these convoluted speculations is that "[a]nimals act *as if* they have conscious thoughts, react *as if* they have emotions, cry and wail *as if* it really hurt."²³

Scully asserts that there is nothing wrong with these "theories" that animals do not suffer, provided they remain active only in the academic field; unfortunately, however, these theories give license to people to do vicious things to animals.²⁴ "[T]he euphemisms of cruelty

¹⁸ *Id.* at 6. Mr. Budiansky, a former nature writer for the *U.S. News & World Report*, claims that animals feel, if at all, only "mere pain" which is "not meaningful and profound like *our* pain." Budiansky further believes that since animals lack (human) language, they lack the ability to form concepts, and that therefore, since they lack consciousness, they cannot suffer. *Id.* at 198.

 $^{^{19}}$ Id. at 224 (Professor Peter Carruthers has attempted to prove that animals are in a sense unconscious because they cannot feel in any conscious or moral way).

²⁰ Id. at 196–97 (citing David S. Oderberg, Applied Ethics: A Non-Consequentialist Approach 116 (Blackwell 2000)). David Oderberg, a British philosopher, agrees that while "some animals have a sense of their own future," such behavior is "mere defensive behavior." Id. (emphasis in original). Oderberg concludes that animals "'are governed wholly by instinct,' incapable of any conscious intention, action, or feeling whatsoever." Id. at 227. But see Susan McCarthy & Jeffrey Moussaieff Masson, When Elephants Weep: The Emotional Lives of Animals xix (Delta 1996) (presenting a compelling argument, through animal stories, that animals lead complex emotional lives, asking "how can anyone know that an animal feels nothing . . . To conclude without study . . . is to proceed on a prejudice . . . in the name of science."). See also Jeffrey Moussaieff Masson, The Nine Emotional Lives of Cats (Ballantine Books 2002) (relating stories showing the deep connection between humans and their cats).

²¹ The Federal Bureau of Investigation considers animal abuse by children an indicator of future criminal conduct towards humans. See e.g. Joseph G. Sauder, Enacting and Enforcing Felony Animal Cruelty Laws to Prevent Violence Against Humans, 6 Animal L. 1 (2000) (Assistant District Attorney in Philadelphia states that the cycle from animal cruelty to violence towards humans is not an aberration); see also Randall Lockwood, Animal Cruelty and Violence Against Humans: Making the Connection, 5 Animal L. 81 (1999) (cruelty to animals is associated with antisocial, violent, or criminal behavior); Alan R. Felthous & Stephen R. Keller, Childhood Cruelty Toward Animals Among Criminals and Noncriminals, 38 Human Rel. J. 1113, 1115 (1985) (serial killers, mass murderers, and other violent offenders often abused animals in their childhood); Ian Shapira, A Dead Man, an Injured Dog, and a Mystery, Wash. Post A1, A10 (Jan. 25, 2003) (reporting a man found dead from a gunshot wound to his abdomen and a dog found still alive with its throat torn open and gashes on its face; the owner, who was alleged to be violent, had attempted to kill the dog).

²² Scully, supra n. 2, at 230 (emphasis in original).

²³ Id. at 229 (emphasis in original).

 $^{^{24}}$ Id.

305

do convey . . . an acknowledgment . . . that something has gone wrong" because animal cruelty is too often ignored. 25

Scully admitted in his book and to me that he is not a practicing Catholic, but his book delves into the tenacious connection between Christianity and animal cruelty. While he ultimately employs the religious angle of Christian compassion as a basis of mercy towards all animals, Scully first reveals the hypocrisy of Christians who "defend" their practice of ignoring the subject of animal welfare altogether. He believes Christians ignore animal welfare because the animal rights movement is often stereotyped as pagan. 27

The relationship between animal welfare advocates and dominion theorists is antagonistic and infuriating. The debate is over whether animals experience pain and whether humankind has complete control over all things. *Dominion* attempts to remove the debate from the theoretical realm into the real world of indifferent cruelty. In support of his theme that we should show more mercy and compassion in our relationship with creatures, Scully goes to the heart of the matter: the suffering animals. Scully's most powerful illustrations of animal cruelty evolve more from what he saw in the field than from what he researched in the library. His depictions reflect the worlds of factory farming, whale and sport hunting, and to a slight extent, animal experimentation.

IV. ANIMALS FOR FOOD

Wilbur burst into tears. "I don't want to die," he moaned. "I want to stay alive, right here in my comfortable manure pile with all of my friends. I want to breathe the comfortable air and lie in the beautiful sun." "You shall not die," said Charlotte, briskly. . . "I am going to save you." ²⁸

Wilbur, the pig in *Charlotte's Web*, led a charmed life compared to the animals Scully visited at the hog farms in North Carolina.²⁹ In

Furthermore, local residents tend to have a high incidence of respiratory, gastrointestinal, and infant sickness. Scully, *supra* n. 2, at 249. Indeed, the Envtl. Protection Agency has determined that this high incidence is no medical coincidence. *See* Nardo, *supra* n. 29. *See also* Aaron Lake, *1998 Legislative Review*, 5 Animal L. 89, 105–107 (1999) (Colorado passed an initiative holding large hog feeding operations (housing approximately 3,000 hogs) responsible for the wastes produced).

²⁵ *Id*. at 11.

 $^{^{26}}$ Id. at 18.

²⁷ Interview with Scully, supra n. 3 (Scully says that religious conservatives ignore groups like People for the Ethical Treatment of Animals "for the truths they reveal").
²⁸ E. B. White, Charlotte's Web 53–54 (Harper & Row, Inc. 1952).

²⁹ Scully, *supra* n. 2, at 257. North Carolina raises approximately ten million hogs—a number larger than the state's human population. In an effort to offset the costs of the environmental damage left by the untreated waste, the industry is seeking a multibillion dollar federal subsidy, proposed by Republican Representative Frank Lucas of Oklahoma. *See also* Marilyn Lee Nardo, *Feedlots—Rural America's Sewer*, 6 Animal L. 83 (2000) (citing U.S. Envtl. Protection Agency's finding that in 1995, North Carolina feedlots discharged 63.5 million gallons of animal manure into rivers, lakes, and ponds, killing over ten million fish).

12:07

graphic detail, Scully forces his reader to look behind the closed doors of the factory farms at the cruel confinement of smart, resourceful, feeling creatures. Factory farming represents an endless enterprise of feeding creatures hormones, laxatives, antibiotics, and recycled pigs.³⁰ It also represents the tumors, lesions, and broken limbs left untreated.

The depictions are painful to read; they must have been even more painful to witness. These pigs spend their entire lives in concrete and metal pens, "never leaving except to die, hardly able to turn or lie down, horror-stricken by every opening of the door, biting and fighting and going mad."31 He describes the systematic cruelty he witnessed as he wandered around the complex with the agricultural scientist; cruelty that many would have been unable to stomach. The first thing he noticed was the incredibly disagreeable and pervasive odor. He asks the reader to consider what the odor must be like for the pigs who "have olfactory powers many times our own."32 Then he describes the 500-pound pigs in their crates approximately twenty-two inches wide. Number NPD 88-308, nicknamed "Baby," was "lying there covered in feces and dried blood, yanking maniacally on chains that have torn her mouth raw, as foraging animals will do when caged and denied straw or other roughage to chew."33 Scully learned from his tour guide that this behavior was "normal." Number NPD 50-375's legs were "swollen and [her] body covered with open sores."34 Then Scully realized that the pigs were "all covered with sores. They all have crate injuries." 35

Scully wants the reader to pay attention to what is actually going on in the world of factory farming. He does so by describing the sprained, fractured and (untreated) limbs, as well as the

³⁰ Scully, *supra* n. 2, at 261 (despite the incidence of Mad Cow disease, the United States continues to feed swine and poultry remains to other swine, poultry, cows, sheep, and goats). See cf. Substances Prohibited From Use in Animals Food or Feed; Animal Proteins Prohibited in Ruminant Feed, 62 Fed. Reg. 30936 (June 5, 1997) (Food and Drug Administration's regulations prohibiting the feeding of ruminate remains (cows, sheep, goats) to other ruminate animals).

Factory farming also produces other diseases, such as the outbreak of avian influenza in Hong Kong where tens of millions of birds were "shoveled into trash bags, gassed, and buried in a landfill." Scully, supra n. 2, at 129. In addition, four counties in California, including San Diego, discovered sick birds infected with a deadly avian virus that causes Exotic Newcastle Disease. In 1971, California's last virus outbreak resulted in the destruction of twelve million chickens, threatening to bring the national egg industry "to its knees." To date, California has destroyed 5,700 birds. William Booth, As California Fights Avian Disease, Poultry Growers Suffer a Toll, Wash. Post A2 (Jan. 5, 2003).

³¹ Scully, *supra* n. 2, at 260.

 $^{^{32}}$ Id. at 266 (these animals' olfactory senses are so strong that "the earlier woes for some sows in confinement is constipation because they refuse, at first, to foul their own stalls").

³³ *Id*.

 $^{^{34}}$ Id. at 267.

³⁵ Id.

unknown

[s]ores, tumors, ulcers, pus pockets, 36 lesions, cysts, bruises, torn ears, swollen legs everywhere. Roaring, groaning, tail biting, fighting, . . . Frenzied chewing on bars and chains. . . . And "social defeat," lots of it, in every third or fourth stall some completely broken being you know is alive only because she blinks and stares up at you like poor NPD 50-421.37

He aptly portrays the farm as an example of the "profound betrayal of veterinary ethics."38 The North Carolinian company, on the other hand, claims that factory farm animals do not suffer, and that these farms or systems "have evolved over time" and are actually "scientifically based."39 In true fashion, Scully refutes this claim by laying out, in stomach-turning detail, many reports and undercover investigations proving that no matter how scientifically-based these productions may be, the "products" undoubtedly suffer. The following reports are just two examples:

They come at night, when the pigs are sleeping. . . The antibiotics are withdrawn a week before slaughter, so that many of the pigs, on their journey to Tar Heel [the North Carolina slaughterhouse], are suffering from pneumonia. Trembling and shaking, many lose control of their bowels and the floors must be constantly washed. . . . Squealing hogs [are funneled] into an area where they are electrocuted, stabbed in the jugular, then tied, lifted and carried on a winding journey through the plant. [The hogs] are dunked in scalding water, their hair is removed, they are run through a fiery furnace (to burn off residual hair), then disemboweled and sliced by an army of young, often immigrant laborers.⁴⁰

Kill-floor work is hot, quick and bloody. The hog is herded in from the stockyard, then stunned with an electric gun. It is lifted into a conveyor belt, dazed but not dead, and passed to a waiting group of men. . . . At 16,000 kills per eight-hour shift in the Tar Heel plant, 2,000 per hour, and 33 every minute, all of this done by transient, unskilled laborers, there are $\rm mistakes.^{41}$

Scully did not actually enter the facility's slaughter house, but as he told me:

307

12:07

³⁶ Id. Ironically, "pus pockets," which are normal among the confined population, are treated with "Kopertox." Kopertox carries a warning that it should not be used on "animals which are used for food production."

³⁷ Id. at 267-68. Scully comments that "[w]hen [scientists] have conquered the 'stress gene,' maybe the Ph.D.s and guys in white coats can find us a cure for the despair gene, too." Id. at 268.

³⁸ Id. at 268.

³⁹ Id. at 280. Discussing modern genetics, Scully describes the pork industry's attempt to eliminate the "Porcine Stress Syndrome (PSS)" in order to produce the greatest amount of high quality protein possible. Another goal involves engineering pigs to have decreased "pale soft exudative (PSE)" (decreased PSE leads to higher quality meat). Id. at 236-37. However, by attempting to decrease PSE, the stress increased!)

⁴⁰ Id. at 282 (quoting David Barboza, Goliath of the Hog World: Fast Rise of Smithfield Foods Makes Regulators Worry, N.Y. Times C1 (April 7, 2000) (an account of the same plant's slaughterhouse)).

 $^{^{41}}$ \overline{Id} . at 283. See also id. at 282 (undercover reporter found that the turnover rate for slaughterhouse workers in Tar Heel is 100% (citing Charlie LeDuff, In the Hog Factory, the Lines are Clear, Intl. Herald Tribune, (June 29, 2000)).

[Vol. 9:299

It wasn't necessary for me to go in there. Killing is bad to see no matter how humanely it is done. That is the nature of the business. What is more important, is seeing how these creatures lived their lives before they were killed. . . . People have this false sense of security that before death, these animals had some sort of life.42

Chapter 6, Deliver Me From My Necessities, replaces the reader's sense of security with complete exposure to an alarming reality.⁴³ Unfortunately, this factory farming method that brings home the bacon to everyone's table is not limited to hogs. The United States slaughters 38 million cows and calves annually; killing approximately 300 to 400 per hour. Scully describes an investigation by the Washington Post, finding it was common for employees to skin and dismember animals that are still alive and conscious.⁴⁴ One employee stated that, "[t]he line is never stopped simply because an animal is alive."45 Another employee who cuts off the hooves of strung-up cattle passing by at a rate of 390 per hour, reported that, "[T]hey blink. They make noises. The head moves, the eyes are open and still looking around. They die piece by piece."46 The critics of these reports nonetheless argue that the radical animal welfare activists use these extreme video investigations to promote their cause on an emotional level. One wonders how many examples of these "extreme" videos it will take to end the criticism.

Factory farming is a grim business, whether or not one believes animals actually feel pain like humans. Scully packed *Dominion* with pages and pages of in-depth research about the business of factory farming, forcing the reader to digest the numbers. Farm workers in the United States slaughter 103 million hogs, 250 million turkeys, and 8 billion chickens annually.⁴⁷ The reality of these numbers leads to a large number of processing mistakes. It is too convenient to believe these animals truly do not experience pain.

Not all animal cruelty can be prevented, but as Dominion suggests, we can prevent the cruelty of factory farming.⁴⁸ It is true that

⁴² Interview with Scully, supra n. 3.

⁴³ Scully, supra n. 2, at 289 ("Factory farming isn't just killing: It is negation, a complete denial of the animal as a living being with his or her own needs and nature.").

⁴⁴ A videotape obtained from a slaughterhouse in Wallula, Washington, showed cows being skinned and their legs cut off without first being stunned. Laurie Fulkerson, 2001 Legislative Review, 8 Animal L. 259, 277 (2002) (citing six farm animal stories).

⁴⁵ Scully, supra n. 2, at 284 (citing a Washington Post exposé in 2001).

 $^{^{46}}$ Id. The Humane Slaughter Act requires that "all animals [be] rendered insensible to pain by a single blow or gunshot or an electrical, chemical or other means that is rapid and effective." Humane Slaughter Act of 1958, 7 U.S.C. §§ 1901-06 (2002). This provision does not apply to chickens. See 9 C.F.R. § 301.2 (2003).

⁴⁷ Scully, *supra* n. 2, at 284–85 (in all, approximately 15,000 beheadings per second). There are 95 million hogs slaughtered annually. *Id.* at 29.

⁴⁸ See e.g. Fla. Const. art. 10, § 21 (providing the first measure in the United States led by the Animal Rights Foundation of Florida banning the caging of pigs in gestation crates—tiny, two-foot by seven-foot cages in which pregnant pigs are housed for almost all their whole lives).

DOMINION BOOK REVIEW

unknown

the average person likes the taste of meat, and that while eating that steak dinner or chicken salad, the average person does not consider the once living and breathing (sentient) animal or the life the animal endured. However, if these consumers actually toured the factory farms as Scully did, there would likely be a significant decrease in meat consumption or a move towards more humanely raised meat products. So what is the point Scully intends to make by writing these gut-wrenching stories? Why does he spend so many pages illustrating the depressing, yet arguably efficient business of factory hog farming?⁴⁹

Perhaps he intends to demonstrate the factory farm business's complete and casual disregard for animals by denying that animals are living beings that have needs. Perhaps he simply intends for people to finally take notice. Personally, after reading this chapter, I nearly did not finish reading the book. I am ashamed to admit that I sometimes have contributed to this business by purchasing its products—perhaps that is Scully's goal. As he ends his strongest chapter, *Deliver Me From My Necessities*, Scully describes the loneliness he felt for the creatures as he left the facility, "I felt as if I hadn't done anything for them." The reader is left with the realization that "[f]or the sake of a little flesh we deprive them of sun, of light, of the duration of life to which they are entitled by birth and being."

V. ANIMALS FOR SPORT

I kill, therefore I am. 52

Scully makes no effort to hide his utter loathing for exotic sport hunting and its supporters. In fact, he wrote these chapters because, "Safari Club hunters are not used to being made fun of. And that is

309

12:07

⁴⁹ Scully, *supra* n. 2, at 276. Every procedure in hog farming has a purpose. For instance, docking involves only *partial*, and not complete, amputation of piglets' tails. Premature weaning leaves piglets with the undesirable habit of searching for something to chew or suck, and they tend to snap at the tails of their closely located penmates. Therefore, by removing only *part* of the tail, the tail becomes more sensitive, "so that the pain of a bite is sharper and the pigs will therefore try harder to avoid attack." Without the aid of this "short-term stressor," the pigs display "learned helplessness" and they "just give up, their tails get chewed and infected, the infection spreads, and they die an unauthorized death." *Id.*

More examples of such farming "efficiency" include: "Castration [which] is done to check early signs of aggression" even though death usually occurs before the pig's puberty. "The teeth clipping, removing the tips of incisors, is another 'protection' for the mother, whose udders get lacerated by twice the number of mouths they're designed for." *Id.* Although every state has an anti-cruelty statute, 30 states exempt animal husbandry practices such as dehorning, castrating, and branding. *See* Pamela D. Frasch, et. al., *State Animal Anti-Cruelty Statutes: An Overview* 5 Animal L. 69, 77 (1999). Iowa and Utah specifically exclude livestock from protection under their cruelty statutes. *Id.* at 78 (citing Iowa Code Ann. § 717B.1 (West 1993 & Supp. 1998); Utah Code Ann. § 76–9–301(11)(B)(ii) (Supp. 1998)).

⁵⁰ Interview with Scully, supra n. 3.

⁵¹ Scully, supra n. 2, at 14 (citing Plutarch, On the Eating of Meat, in Moralia 994E).

⁵² Id. at 55 (critiquing James A. Swan, In Defense of Hunting 15, 35 (Harper 1995)).

what I wanted to do-make fun of them, expose them as weaklings, and attack their excuses from a position of strength."53 After all, it is highly unlikely that Scully would convert a significant portion of the American hunting population into vegetarians. His design, however, was successful; I certainly laughed out loud at his cartoon-like descriptions in the book of the exotic Safari Club hunters, as he exposed the hypocrisies in the excuses for sport hunting.

unknown

In field journalist style, Scully takes the reader into the jungle setting of the Safari Club International annual conference where booths, "manned by more than three thousand guides and outfitters [serve] the 13,554 naked apes attending the convention."54 Similar to the practice of factory farming, the entire Safari Club concept proves that "something has gone horribly wrong." 55 Around the convention hall, the evidence is everywhere: there are "kill" pictures proudly displaying downed elephants. One in particular depicts an elephant corpse photographed alongside "some grinning little bantamweight in a polo shirt."56 Another full-color photograph displays a dead giraffe, lying "on his stomach and folded legs, the neck forming an arch off to the side, providing an elbow rest for the posing hunter, a woman."57 There are elephant trophy hunts, or "big tuskers" for sale at \$10,500 apiece.⁵⁸ Rhino trophies go for about \$23,000. Tourngat Wilderness Adventures in Goose Bay, Labrador, Canada offers the biggest caribou racks anywhere, and a plane—in case the caribou do not get close enough to the lodge.⁵⁹

There are seminars such as the one on bow hunting covering the "finer points of effecting maximum internal hemorrhaging." The "ethics of hunting" seminar, on the other hand, was delivered to 19 listeners and 112 empty chairs. 61 A video runs footage showing "four dramatic brain shots" to a bull elephant protecting his herd. 62 A brochure handed out during the prayer breakfast warns against "The Pa-

⁵³ Interview with Scully, supra n. 3.

⁵⁴ Scully, supra n. 2, at 49.

 $^{^{55}}$ Id. at 88.

⁵⁶ Id. at 89.

⁵⁷ Id. at 51.

⁵⁸ Id. at 47. Lifting the ivory ban is a controversial possibility. On one hand, Kenya lost about 85% of its native elephant population between 1973 and 1987 when there was no ban against trading of ivory. If legal trading is allowed to flourish again, Kenya's profitable tourism business will suffer. On the other hand, people argue that the elephant population is increasing and that the animals now destroy villagers' crops. See e.g. Emily Wax, Ivory Ban Has High Cost for Rural Africans; Resurgent Elephants Trample Harvests, Wash. Post A1, A30 (Nov. 10, 2002). See also John A. Hoyt, Animals in Peril (Avery Publg. Group 1994) (arguing that the African elephant situation is the best example of how the theory of consumptive sustainable use can result in tragic and often irreversible consequences).

⁵⁹ Scully, *supra* n. 2, at 61.

⁶⁰ Id. at 50.

⁶¹ Id. at 61 ("Ethics at Safari Club is ordered libertinism, like teaching cannibals to use a table napkin and not take the last portion.").

⁶² Id. at 48 (referring to the video With Deadly Intent).

311

unknown

gan Roots of Environmentalism."63 And everywhere Scully looks, there are beautiful animals—deer, zebras, kudu, impalas, leopards, cougars, rabbits, wolves, baboons, elk, wildebeests, giraffes, bears—all stuffed, that is⁶⁴

In essence, the convention is a one-stop shopping mall for exotic hunters. Here, a hunter could arrange to experience the challenge of hunting New Zealand sheep—from a helicopter.⁶⁵ An outfitter from Tulsa, Oklahoma, assures another shopping hunter concerned with the "danger" involved in elephant hunting that she could shoot from the safety of the car after an elephant has been lured in by food or water. 66 No matter how unskilled a hunter may be, there are hunting outfitters at this convention who will guarantee—for a price—a trophy

Scully reveals that being a Safari Club hunter takes money. "[T]he average Safari Club member owns eleven rifles, six shotguns, five handguns, and a bow" and "spends \$14,000 a year on hunting, compared to \$1,500 for the average American hunter."67 To achieve Diamond Level status in the Safari Club, a member must have already killed a minimum of seventy-six different species of creatures, and must now kill eighteen different species of Asian and African animals.⁶⁸ Safari Club International, with over fifty percent of its members reporting annual income exceeding \$100,000, is a 501(c)(3) taxexempt charity "organized for exclusively charitable and educational purposes."69

⁶³ Id. at 55.

⁶⁴ Id. at 49. In further describing men of influence in the hunting arena, Scully quotes a famous hunter: "'I am a hunter, and I don't apologize to anyone.' This opening line from General Norman Schwarzkopf brings such loud applause that you half-expect the [stuffed] giraffe to bolt from the grand ballroom." Id. at 67 (emphasis in original).

 $^{^{65}}$ Id. at 51 (New Zealand Wildlife Safaris offers the opportunity to fly with Kulu Hunting Company of Magadan, Russia, and shoot at rare snow sheep at a cost of \$14,900).

⁶⁶ Id. The more glamorous hunter, (or more stereotypically his wife), can also purchase diamond jewelry at such a convention. Id. at 52.

⁶⁷ Id. at 53. Scully distinguishes between the average American hunter who lives a hurried, worker-bee existence with only weekends to spare for hunting, and the professional hunter who knows that certain species have social lives, but who also knows that a trophy elephant is worth more to his pocketbook dead than alive. Id. at 84–87.

Occasionally, there are contradicting portrayals in *Dominion*. On one hand, Scully seems to prefer the "simple" hunter who just hopes to "take" one or two deer per season. On the other hand, he exposes the unreasonable cruelty that large numbers of these "simple" hunters exert onto their prey. For instance, he reports that Pennsylvania alone issued over one million hunting and fishing licenses in 2001 (thereby adding approximately \$2 million to the state's economy).

⁶⁸ Id. at 57. Safari Club has approximately 42,000 members. See generally Safari Club Intl. Wis. Chapter, Safari Club Intl. Wis. Chapter http://www.sciwi.org/> (accessed Mar. 1, 2003).

⁶⁹ Scully, supra n. 2, at 78 (emphasis added).

"If elk could scream, . . . the woods would have fewer hunters." 70

unknown

ANIMAL LAW

Scully sums up the whole Safari Club phenomena as "a deeper psychodrama, ... some sort of ass-backward coming-of-age rite that ... makes boys of men. Nature, in this holy rite, is transformed into an endless theme park."71 After analyzing the Safari Club, Scully ventures into the less glamorous world of canned hunting.⁷² The Pico Ranch in Wimberly, Texas, for example, offers 2,000 high-fenced acres enclosing deer. The brochure depicts two successful hunters "done up head-to-toe in leafy camouflage—in their imaginations, so it seems, at Normandy or in the jungles of 'Nam instead of inside a large pen where they have just ambushed two trapped animals."⁷³ Here, hunting deer within the confines of barbed wire fences seems normal, almost acceptable. So does the common practice of luring deer to timed feeders releasing food for several weeks to "train" the deer to show up predictably at the same feeding spot. But what about the practice of transporting thousands of deer, wild sheep, boars, big cats, wolves, or bears (after first tracking them down via a helicopter and shooting them with tranquilizers) to a game ranch where they are then released and shot by trophy hunters? Or what about purchasing aging tigers from zoos, releasing them from their transport cages, and then shooting them on the spot?⁷⁴ These hunting practices beg the question that Scully specifically asks: "How could anyone hunt a trapped animal?"⁷⁵

We have heard the argument that wildlife "would run loose across our towns and cities were it not for sport hunters to control their population."76 We also have heard the argument that the "[w]eaker animals in the wild . . . will only die miserable deaths by starvation and exposure without sport hunters to control their populations."⁷⁷ Scully effectively points out the inherent contradictions in such arguments by revealing that wild animals are still being bred to replenish the stocks

⁷⁰ Id. at 59-60 (citing George N. Wallace, If Elk Could Scream, in A Hunter's Heart: Honest Essays on Blood Sport 96 (David Petersen ed., Henry Holt & Co. 1996) (emphasis added)).

⁷¹ Scully, supra n. 2, at 59.

⁷² Canned hunting—releasing captured animals in enclosed pens where they are then hunted—is legal in over 30 states, and some of these states permit the importation of African animals to America for the sole purpose of being shot. Laura J. Ireland, Canning Canned Hunts: Using State and Federal Legislation to Eliminate the Unethical Practice of Canned "Hunting," 8 Animal L. 223, 241 (2002) (asserting that the canned hunt caters to "wealthy trophy hunters who exploit hand-raised game, zoo, and circus animals" and that strong legislation is necessary to end this cruel sport).

⁷³ Scully, supra n. 2, at 63.

⁷⁴ Id. at 64 (an ABC Primetime Live investigation showed this exact footage). A twoyear investigation revealed that "of the 19,361 mammals that left the nation's accredited zoos from 1992 through mid-1998, 7,420—or 38%—went to dealers, auctions, hunting ranches, unidentified individuals or unaccredited zoos or game farms." Id. (citing Linda Goldston, Animals Once Admired at Country's Major Zoos Are Sold or Given Away to Dealers, San Jose Mercury News (Feb. 11, 1999)) (emphasis added).

⁷⁵ *Id*.

⁷⁶ Id. at 66.

⁷⁷ Id.

DOMINION BOOK REVIEW

and that the bigger, stronger animals with the largest racks are the ones being culled, not the weak animals. Who is better: the hunter who admits he likes to kill animals, or the hunter who attempts to present the good-for-all justifications for a gruesome pastime?

unknown

Upon exposing the cruel world of exotic and canned hunting, Scully wonders in his sarcastic tone where these "Nimrods and Dr. Deers and Christian gentlemen hunters and Safari Clubbers of the world... got this idea of dominion as a relentless, merciless merchandising and pillaging of our forests and their inhabitants."78 He disagrees that the Bible is the source and spends the next several pages explaining why not. Throughout the book, Scully argues that showing mercy towards creatures with lesser value than humans is a thing we must do, though he also stresses that animals should have value of their own and not as compared with people. Likewise, showing cruel and merciless dominion over these same creatures merely because we can is a thing we must absolutely *not* do. The Bible preaches mercy; "[i]t doesn't say you can baiteth and slayeth and stuffeth everything in sight, either, let alone deducteth the cost."79

The closing of the sport hunting chapters brings an end to Scully's powerful and comical criticisms of the Safari Club International hunting tradition. Scully also repeats his theme that all animals deserve to be treated with mercy and humanity, whether the majestic elephant in the African Serengeti or the 500-pound hog in the factory farm. Animals are animals; cruelty is cruelty. The mink and fox in America who are skinned for their coats, for instance, deserve the same attention as the dogs and cats in China who are also skinned alive for their coats.⁸⁰

Scully reveals that in 1998, the NBC News program Dateline aired footage of the fur industry in China, where workers "manufactured" approximately two million cats and dogs for export mostly to the United States. The footage revealed

dogs tied down while being skinned alive, whimpering for mercy, actually licking the hand of the skinner, and the cats stuffed into little cages, huddled in terror as one after another was strangled to death-literally noosed and hung inside the cage, this to avoid bleeding or other damage to the fur.

Scully, supra n. 2, at 121. Scully's sole purpose in citing this distressing footage is to demonstrate that there is no difference in what the Chinese do for fur and what Americans do for fur. See also Va. Code Ann. § 3.1-796.128:2 (2002) (making it unlawful for any person within the state to sell any garment knowingly made from the hide, pelt, or fur of a domestic dog or cat.); Or. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 167.390 (2001) (banning the sale of products containing domestic dog or cat fur from animals killed and maimed for their

313

⁷⁸ Id. at 90.

⁷⁹ *Id*. at 92.

⁸⁰ Id. at 121 (asserting that we should pay attention to the cruelty exerted on all animals and not just on animals we favor). Scully's example is that humans take creatures such as mink, beaver, and foxes, stuff them into cages, and electrocute them for their fur. Many members of society casually dismiss the people fighting for these creatures' rights as animal rights extremists. However, when the plight of cats and dogs is raised, even the resistant conservatives take notice. See also Fredrick Kunkle, A Swanky Spa Where Fur is de Rigueur; Deluxe Fairfax Resort Offers Dogs, Cats Creature Comforts, Wash. Post, A1 (Dec. 22, 2002) (revealing that U.S. owners will spend nearly \$30 billion this year on their pets).

More importantly, cruelty is not justified on any grounds, especially economic grounds. In our everyday lives at home and work, Scully argues, we should be neither "arbitrarily cruel" nor "arbitrarily compassionate."81

unknown

VI. WHALE HUNTING

One of the most controversial animal welfare subjects, which has lasted throughout the decades, is whale hunting. Scully estimates that ninety-nine percent of the world's whale resources are gone. In fact, next to the fate of the elephant, the destruction of the whale population "is one of the greatest human onslaughts ever visited upon any animal."82 At one point, in a typical whaling season, whalers would kill 35,000 great whales from the Antarctic waters alone.83 "The year 1938, with forty-one factory ships at work, saw a record take of 45,010 great whales just in the Antarctic."84 In 1931, approximately 32,000 blue whales were slaughtered.⁸⁵ The fifties "brought a yield of no fewer than 300,000 great whales. The sixties . . . some 380,000. The seventies . . . more than a quarter million."86 Today, even though it is difficult to state with certainty the actual numbers, it is estimated that there are "2,000 southern right whales, 300 northern rights, 5,500 humpbacks, 47,000 fins, 21,000 grays, 40,000 Bryde's, 7,500 bowheads, 10,300 sei whales, and 3,000 blue whales in all the seven seas."87 The aggressive dominion over whales, some the size of a fully-fueled 737 airplane, is just as merciless as people's callous treatment of animals in the factory farms.88

Despite the present moratorium on whale hunting, Japan and Norway continue to kill approximately 1,000 whales each year.89 Searching for reasons for these permitted loopholes, Scully discusses the 52nd annual meeting of the International Whaling Commission in

Another contradiction in attention to cruelty is that Americans love their hamburgers but show distaste for the consumption of horsemeat, which humans frequently consume overseas. See Tamara Jones, An Ugly Fate in an Auction Ring? Horse Slaughter for Human Diets Debated; From Auction to Slaughter, a Painful Debate, Wash. Post A1 (Jan. 19, 2003) (arguing that horsemeat is not used to feed starving people but is instead a delicacy in Canada, Europe, and Asia, and therefore, the slaughter of American horses is considered inhumane).

⁸¹ Scully, *supra* n. 2, at 39.

⁸² Id. at 155.

 $^{^{83}}$ Id. at 158.

⁸⁴ Id.

⁸⁵ Id.

 $^{^{86}}$ Id. at 159.

⁸⁷ Id. (citing Intl. Whaling Commn., Whale Population Estimates, in The Lives of Whales 6 (June 2000)).

⁸⁸ Id. at 152-54 (even though whales are targeted with grenade shots to their brains, some whales take approximately five minutes to die. However, the job might not be that efficient, "as in the case of one whale this year [2000] who took 96 minutes to expire and another who took 130 minutes," and there are other whales that are "struck and lost" who die at sea).

⁸⁹ *Id.* at 142.

DOMINION BOOK REVIEW

unknown

Adelaide, Australia, in 2000.90 In this setting, Japan and Norway fiercely argued for the right to trade in these huge sea mammals. The characters Scully encountered were as interesting and loyal to their "cause" as were the Safari Club hunters, but Scully refrains from poking fun at them in his book.

The Japanese team was at the meeting to convince the anti-whaling delegates that millions of whales are alive today. Alternative arguments put forth by the pro-whaling countries, like Japan, claim a right to eat whale meat, just like Westerners have a right to eat hamburgers, and they should be allowed to take whales. In yet another alternative, Japan claims it needs to capture and kill 600 to 700 whales each year for "scientific research." Therefore, they should be allowed to take whales. Finally, Japan argues for whaling as a "'cultural right," indeed a kind of religious duty."92 This view of the whaling industry as a proud symbol of cultural diversity creates a sensitive subject.⁹³

The International Whaling Commission (IWC), according to Scully, "is a useless academic bureaucracy," directing its moral authority through weak requests that suggest disobedient countries like Japan should refrain from issuing any special permits for the take of minke whales from the Southern Ocean Sanctuary.94 The IWC also sent a letter to Canada, another noncompliant country, urging Canada to refrain from issuing permits to kill endangered whales in the eastern Canadian Arctic.95

After pouring through this long chapter, it becomes apparent that Japan and the other pro-commercial whaling advocates are getting closer to their goal of expanding the quotas and one day resuming commercial whaling; so, whaling will survive. Unfortunately, it is common for an international arena to treat issues of animal welfare as illegiti-

315

12:07

⁹⁰ Id. at 141.

⁹¹ Id. at 164–66. In Article VIII of its charter, the 1946 Convention in International Whaling provides that

Notwithstanding anything contained in this Convention, any Contracting Government may grant to any of its nationals a special permit authorizing that national to kill, take, and treat whales for purposes of scientific research subject to such other conditions as the Contracting Government thinks fit, and the killing, taking, and treating of whales in accordance with the provisions of this article shall be exempt from the operation of this Convention.

Id. at 165. The Japanese Fisheries Agency in the Nikkei Weekly wants to "'study their earplugs, ovaries, muscle tissue, and stomach contents' and so better calculate whale recovery rates." Id. (citing Masako Fukui, Australia, Japan at Odds Over Whaling, Nikkei Weekly (Asia-Pacific section) 20 (Mar. 6, 2000)).

⁹² Scully, supra n. 2 at 169.

⁹³ Id. at 175-76 (Scully states that Japan perverts the meaning of culture "by stirring up phony indigenous movements to revive long-gone traditions decades after the whaling industry should have died its natural death.").

⁹⁴ Id. at 185 (citing Intl. Whaling Commn., Resolution on Whaling under Special Permit in the Southern Ocean Sanctuary, IWC/52/37, agenda item 13).

⁹⁵ See id. at 185–86 (citing Intl. Whaling Commn., Resolution on Whaling of Highly Endangered Bowhead Whales in the Eastern Canadian Arctic, IWC/52/38, agenda item 10.3.2).

mate or subordinate agenda items. 96 As a result, chapter 4, Riches of the Sea, was a frustrating read because Scully only hints at a resolution to the massive whaling dilemma.

unknown

VII. ANIMALS FOR EXPERIMENTS

"[S]inning bravely" in the name of science. 97

No single chapter is dedicated to the discussion of cruelty to laboratory animals. However, Scully makes it apparent that he is interested in the topic, scattering bits and pieces throughout *Dominion*. In fact, he now regrets that he did not include a separate chapter concerning the plight of laboratory animals.98

Scully asks the right questions about continued justifications for the scientific use of animals. The thought of what actually occurs in the laboratories is an uncomfortable one, especially if one has seen photographs of animals during the process. The vision is, in many ways, surreal. Often, the experimentation involves painful procedures and a slow recovery, if any. However, the scientists condone the experimentation because the results are intended to serve a higher purpose, or at least, that is the hope. But as Scully points out, that is not always the case. He insists that scientists attempt to justify any use of animals in experiments and asks that they instead pay attention to the cruelty they are inflicting on their subjects. "[U]ntil you see the cost, you cannot rationally weigh what is essential and what is not."99

In one example of experimentation, he expresses sadness that a colleague can find humor in a story of a laboratory in Indiana,

where, to silence the yapping of some sixty dogs, the researchers cut out the vocal cords of each one. The dogs still try to bark . . . only it looks like

⁹⁶ See id. at 184 (in the 1990s the United States attempted to ban tuna caught by Latin fisherman because their practices caused the cruel deaths of countless dolphins.). The World Trade Organization, however, compelled Congress to remove the ban on tuna caught by Latin fisherman, because it served as an unfair barrier to trade. In 1996, the European Union attempted to ban the import of fur caught by U.S. furriers employing the steel-jawed, leg-hold traps. American furrier lobbyists were able to prevail on the Clinton administration to sue at the World Trade Union, thereby forcing the European Union to retreat. Similarly, the European Union attempted to ban the marketing of cosmetics containing ingredients tested on animals. Because the proposed ban was intended to include imports, The General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT) rules were in effect, and the European Union backed down.

GATT's Article XI prohibits countries from imposing bans or restrictions on imports and exports. The European Union exports approximately 300,000 live cattle annually to the Middle East and North Africa. Although the transportation involves "brutal unloading and slaughter methods," it cannot be banned because of GATT's Article XI. General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade, Oct. 30, 1947, Art. IX, The Results of the Uruguay Round of Multilateral Trade Negotiations: The Legal Texts 485-558 (GATT Secretariat 1994). See also Peter Stevenson, The World Trade Organisation Rules: A Legal Analysis of Their Adverse Impact on Animal Welfare, 8 Animal L. 107, 119–20 (2002).

⁹⁷ Scully, supra n. 2, at 379 (quoting philosopher Paul Ramsey).

⁹⁸ Interview with Scully, supra n. 3.

⁹⁹ Scully, *supra* n. 2, at 379.

DOMINION BOOK REVIEW

317

12:07

someone has pressed the mute button, and now the scientists can go about their work in peace and quiet. 100

unknown

Scully asks the reader to imagine what would happen if her own dog or cat wandered off without its identification tag and entered into "a different moral world where cruelty statutes no longer apply." 101 He introduces the world of the March of Dimes' laboratories, where researchers worked on experiments such as The Morphology of Retinogeniculate X- and Y-Cell Axonal Arbors in Dark-Reared Cats: 102

For the researchers, it meant taking a group of kittens, sewing shut the eyelids of half of them while rearing the others for one year in total darkness, and then killing them all to examine the effects of this experience on their brains. 103

March of Dimes also has sponsored "experiments administering massive doses of cocaine, nicotine, and alcohol to animals" to expand knowledge of the harmful effect of these substances. 104 One wonders if any of these experiments were necessary. Another experiment included "implanting wires into the uteri of pregnant monkeys who spend fifty to sixty days at a time in a cage, in a straitjacket, tethered to a wall."105

Scully suggests that the word "science" is used to justify trivial and often useless experiments on animals because we have a "presumptive respect" for the scientific profession. 106 Some scientists manifest a complete disregard for the subject animals and for creation itself by cloning animals and embryos, and creating featherless chickens and fear-free pigs. Scully raises facts that the average person may not know. For example, scientifically sound alternatives to animal experimentation are available with many more becoming available. The Draize Test, which involves "dripping chemicals and personal-care products into the eyes of immobilized rabbits"107 can be replaced with the use of human tissue systems mimicking the eye. Instead of subjecting live animals to commercial and industrial chemicals, "[a]cute toxicity is determined more accurately by in vitro methods using human cell cultures obtained from cadavers."108

¹⁰⁰ Id. at 18.

¹⁰¹ Id. at 379.

¹⁰² P.E. Garraghty, et. al., The Morphology of Retinogeniculate X- and Y-Cell Axonal Arbors in Dark-Reared Cats, 66 Experimental Brain Research 115–127 (1987).

¹⁰³ Scully, supra n. 2, at 379. See Animal Welfare Inst., Beyond the Laboratory Door 109, 134 (Animal Welfare Inst. 1985) (providing descriptions and photographs of similar experiments involving kittens).

¹⁰⁴ Scully, supra n. 2, at 379 (citing various medical studies).

¹⁰⁶ Id. at 380. The Environmental Protection Agency's proposed Endocrine Disrupter Testing Program, which will result in the death of as many as 150 million animals, has been criticized as having a scientifically flawed basis. See Aaron Lake, 1999 State and Federal Legislative and Administrative Actions, 6 Animal L. 151, 178 n. 273 (2000).

¹⁰⁷ Scully, *supra* n. 2, at 384.

¹⁰⁸ Id. (emphasis in original).

12:07

ANIMAL LAW

unknown

[Vol. 9:299

The most interesting question Scully poses in his discussion of animal experimentation is: "Where did the ethical barriers first begin to fall?"109 In particular, he is referring to recent public attention to animal cloning, which Scully feels is quickly approaching human cloning. Society did not initially view the cloning and patenting experimentation on animal life as controversial, and perhaps that was the fatal mistake. Scully quotes a biomedical researcher opposed to human cloning, "You can dispose of these [cloned, abnormal] animals, . . . but tell me, what can you do with abnormal humans? . . . [Human cloning is] an outrageous criminal enterprise to even attempt."110 The researcher goes on to warn against the inherent callousness of cloning as a utilitarian and arrogant way of life. Scully suggests that the ethical barriers began to fall with that first experiment on a small, white mouse.

Scully's stories about animals for food, animals for sport hunting, and animals for experimentation lead to these essential questions: Is all this necessary anymore? Is there no better way? Dominion's parting chapters demonstrate that this merciless treatment of animals not only is preventable, but there is a better way for humans to treat animals.

VIII. THERE MUST BE ANOTHER WAY

What shall be done for these innocents? 111

Scully dedicated most of *Dominion's* pages to "setting the scene" by illustrating the way humans dominate creatures, then by contradicting such useless arguments, such as: animals feel no pain or animals are on Earth for our disposal. It is irrelevant that animals cannot communicate and conceptualize like humans¹¹² or act morally towards humans. It is irrelevant that factory farming is more efficient than small business farming. It is irrelevant that creatures have lesser "value" in this world than humans. What is relevant is that today, at

¹⁰⁹ Id. at 382.

¹¹⁰ Id. (quoting Rick Weiss, Human Cloning Bid Stirs Experts' Anger: Problems in Animal Cases Noted Wash, Post (Mar. 7, 2001)), Texas A&M University is conducting cloning experiments with the intent to create the perfect cow, which has the girth of a beef cow and the udder of a Holstein. To date, their experiments have been unsuccessful, but they have achieved "hundreds of spontaneous abortions, miscarriages, disfigured fetuses, and horribly malformed live births. . . pictures of these creatures, scarcely recognizable as calves, lining the walls inside the department." Id. at 375–76 (Scully visited this lab.).

¹¹¹ Id. at 350 (citing C.S. Lewis, The Problem of Pain: How Human Suffering Raises Almost Intolerable Intellectual Problems 136 (Macmillan 1962)).

¹¹² There are other views into whether animals can communicate or conceptualize. See e.g. Shankar Vedantam, From Orangutans, A Cultural Display, Wash. Post A3 (Jan. 3, 2003) (recent research indicates that orangutans display "culture"). See also Christmas Tree Now Toys at Zoo, Wash. Post A7 (Jan. 12, 2003) (Rockefeller Center Christmas tree was transformed into toys for polar bears, otters, monkeys, and other animals at Central Park Zoo to serve as "enrichment toys" or "sensory-stimulating toys.").

DOMINION BOOK REVIEW

our level of technology and civilization, we have discovered unimaginable ways to torture and maim animals and make their lives miserable. What is relevant is that today "people pour so much energy into being cruel towards animals . . . It is time we stop wasting so much human energy and start channeling that energy towards a more humane effort."113

unknown

The end of Scully's book discusses the alternatives and possible resolutions to address such inhumanity. Most of his resolutions are short and practical. Scully's statutory resolutions might be impossible given the present administration's traditionally conservative view of animal welfare, but optimism is always welcome. His central theme is that we have run out of excuses for the collapse of standards in our treatment of animals, and that it is time to formulate practical reforms and move towards necessary change. Scully also emphasizes that we can continue wasting time debating over animals and their rights, or we can utilize the human attribute of common sense to pass laws that show our development. He asserts that once the subject of animal welfare receives the public attention it deserves, legal reforms could be implemented in an effort to protect animals from cruelty. 114 In the area of game hunting, he calls for reforms such as:

- (1) Game hunting and canned hunting are "the most easily outlawed;" 115
- (2) Bow hunting, which tortures its target with a slow death, should be restricted to nonliving targets;
- (3) Safari Club International should not enjoy tax-exempt status similar to organizations such as the Salvation Army; 116 and
- (4) Conservation and eco-tourism initiatives should be directed towards countries such as Africa and Asia, helping both wildlife and people. 117

Additionally, Scully suggests the following reforms:

- (1) Strengthen the Animal Welfare Act by redefining key words such as "animal" and "scientific necessity;"118 and
- (2) Reform the Animal Welfare Act to require that scientists use scientifically sound alternative experiments to those on animals. 119

319

12:07

¹¹³ Interview with Scully, supra n. 3.

¹¹⁴ Id. at 356. Every state has an animal anti-cruelty statute, most of which are misdemeanor offenses. Id. at 296-97.

¹¹⁵ Id. at 356 (apparently, such practices are still legal in 30 states). However, he refers to the Captive Exotic Animal Protection Act recently endorsed by Senator Joseph Biden (D-Del.) which would effectively ban domestic canned hunting. Id. at 358.

¹¹⁶ Scully, supra n. 2, at 359-60. But see Exemption Tax for Pig Shelter, Wash. Post C3 (Jan. 12, 2003) (Mini-Pigs, the only nonprofit organization in Virginia that rescues miniature pigs abandoned as they age, was recently warned that it might not be granted tax-exempt status next year because of the state's recent budget woes).

¹¹⁷ Scully, *supra* n. 2, at 360–67.

 $^{^{118}}$ Id. at 383–84 (for example, experimenters themselves are permitted to assess whether any experiment without the use of anesthesia is of "scientific necessity").

¹¹⁹ Id., at 387–88. See also Animal Welfare Inst., Beyond the Laboratory Door (Animal Welfare Inst. 1985) (reporting on massive noncompliance with the Animal Welfare Act).

12:07

Scully admits his suggested reforms in factory farming would require paying higher prices for meat, but suggests enacting a new

unknown

The Humane Farming Act would require specific standards such as the space afforded each animal and would ban mass confinement, veal crates, gestation crates, and battery cages. 120

Scully's resolution for the tremendous whale hunting controversy is perhaps too simple because he suggests that the United States and the other anti-whale hunting delegates should proclaim that a moratorium against whale hunting is, in fact, a moratorium. And, science cannot be used as a false justification for whale killing. 121

Dominion's last chapter ends not with the usual blasting demand for the reforms Scully lays out, but rather with a few endearing stories portraying the more compassionate side of human treatment toward animals. Scully humbly admits that while he had been "busy worrying" about cruelty towards animals, he discovered all around him the many men and women who have been working for years for the cause. 122 He writes about animals that some consider worthless rescued by the Farm Sanctuary from factory farms, stockyards, and slaughterhouses. 123 He also tells of the Elephant Sanctuary that removed Sissy, an abused 38-year-old female, from the El Paso Zoo and took her on a long road trip to the Tennessee sanctuary. 124

These stories represent Scully's ultimate purpose in writing the book. He reminds people to think about their actions, daily contribu-

¹²⁰ Scully, supra n. 2, at 391–93 (providing a checklist of provisions). Scully quotes Senator Robert Byrd (D-W.VA), eight-term senator, as being "aghast" when he toured a hog factory in his home state. "Our inhumane treatment of livestock is becoming . . . more and more barbaric. . . . These creatures feel; they know pain. . . . Let us strive to be good stewards and not defile God's creatures or ourselves by tolerating unnecessary, abhorrent, and repulsive cruelty." Id. at 390 (citing 148 Cong. Rec. S7310 (daily ed. July 9, 2001)). Scully also hints that perhaps Senator Byrd should be the legislative author for the Humane Farming Act. Id. at 391.

Four animal protection amendments to the Farm Bill passed through the House of Representatives on Oct. 4, 2001, representing "the single most productive day for animal protection in history." Laurie Fulkerson, 2001 Legislative Review, 8 Animal L. 259, 272-73 (2002) (similar amendments passed in the Senate on Feb. 13, 2002). The amendments "seek to end the inhumane practices of dragging sick or injured animals to slaughter, to ban the interstate and foreign transport of animals used for fighting, and to protect animals destined for slaughter from the needless suffering they currently endure." Id. See also Joby Warrick, They Die Piece by Piece: In Overtaxed Plants, Humane Treatment of Cattle is Often a Battle Lost, Wash. Post A01 (Apr. 10, 2001) (exposé of slaughterhouse cruelty).

¹²¹ Interview with Scully, supra n. 3 ("Just do it!"). See Laurie Fulkerson, 2001 Legislative Review, 8 Animal L. 259, 287-88 (2002) (citing H.R. Con. Res. 180, 107th Cong. (2001)); Sen. Res. 121, 107th Cong. (2001) (proposing to ban whale hunting based on scientific grounds).

¹²² Scully, *supra* n. 2, at 393.

¹²³ Id. at 396-97. See also Farm Sanctuary http://www.farmsanctuary.org (accessed Mar. 29, 2003).

¹²⁴ Id. at 397-98.

DOMINION BOOK REVIEW

tions, and final epitaphs. Scully believes that true change will occur only when people recognize that to be decent human beings, there are actions that we *must* take and then there are actions that we *could* take. 125

unknown

CONCLUSION

Dominion: The Power of Man, the Suffering of Animals, the Call to Mercy raises serious questions about life and the way we demonstrate our civilization. Scully shows us the facts, as they exist—with no sugarcoating—especially in the area of factory farming. Although Dominion is sometimes difficult to read because of its vivid detail, this empowering book illustrates persuasive reasons for a resurrection of compassionate spirit. While the argument that the humane treatment of animals is a moral responsibility is not new, 126 it is unusual for a working member of a Republican White House to speak out on behalf of the animal welfare movement. Scully's résumé is not one I would have initially selected to fill the role of such a compelling advocate. He is a conservative Republican, but he is also a vegetarian and an animal welfare advocate. He is an advocate who argues that one's political or religious tendencies should not dictate a position "for" or "against" merciless cruelty to animals; regardless of your political or religious beliefs, there are no excuses for systematic and merciless cruelty to animals—none whatsoever.

Dominion asks tough questions and forces us to face the reality of civilization's needless cruelty toward animals. While the book ends with a few unanswered questions and with a feeling of "what's next?" the book's theme is clear: we must protect animals from cruelty; humans must assert animals' rights to mercy, because the victims the animals—cannot make such assertions for themselves.

Dominion is not a book urging equal rights for animals. It is a book about humans and humane treatment of animals.¹²⁷ Dominion

We need another wiser and perhaps more mystical concept of animals. . . . We patronize them for their incompleteness, for their tragic fate of having taken form so far below ourselves. And therein we err, and greatly err. . . . They are not brethren, they are no underlings; they are other nations, caught with ourselves in the net of life and time, fellow prisoners of the splendour and travail of the earth.

321

¹²⁵ Interview with Scully, supra n. 3.

¹²⁶ See e.g. David J. Wolfson, McLibel, 5 Animal L. 21, 46 (1999) (quoting a judicial opinion stating McDonald's had an unpracticed public relations policy, which stated that the humane treatment of animals was a moral responsibility).

¹²⁷ See e.g. Henry Beston, The Outermost House (5th ed., Henry Holt & Co. 1992) (originally published in 1928) (written for Judge A. Newson). I noticed this poem hanging in a doctor's office:

also opens the debate for the need and the obligation to show mercy toward animals as we use them for food, sport, experimentation, or other "needs." If you care about animals, ask yourself "why do you care?" Then ask, "what do you intend to do to express that concern?" That is Matthew Scully's purpose: to prompt people to live good, compassionate lives, and to be merciful toward animals.

Perhaps because Scully is a Republican employee in the White House, those conservative compassionate voters who would routinely dismiss the radical animal rights advocate will stop ignoring the cruelty and instead realize that we must do something—sooner, not later. Perhaps Scully, in his role as speechwriter to the President, will discuss his book and its important issues with his White House co-workers. While his book might not convince his conservative colleagues to stop serving meat at their family tables, it might trouble his co-workers' consciences enough to make small changes, perhaps deciding to buy meat only from reputable non-factory farms. Perhaps Matthew Scully will refrain from giving his controversial book to President Bush, but will bestow a copy on Mrs. Bush instead.

[T]he concept of "mercy" seem[s] to cause uneasiness in man, who, thanks to the enormous development of science and technology, never before known in history, has become master of the earth and has subdued and dominated it. This dominion over the earth, sometimes understood in a one-sided and superficial way, seems to leave no room for mercy.

-Pope John Paul II, The Mercy of God^{128}

322

¹²⁸ Scully, supra n. 2, at 287 (citing The Mercy of God, in Encyclical Letter of Pope John Paul II 1:2).